

Evaluation of the Nutritional Value of Selected Leafy Vegetables Grown in Sri Lanka

H. Nadeeshani, K.M.S. Wimalasiri¹, G. Samarasinghe², R. Silva³ and T. Madhujith^{1*}

Postgraduate Institute of Agriculture
University of Peradeniya
Sri Lanka

ABSTRACT: Sri Lanka is blessed with a wide variety of leafy vegetables. However, the information on their nutritional value is scanty. In the present study, ten leafy vegetables commonly grown in Sri Lanka, namely *Centella asiatica* (Gotukola), *Alternanthera sessilis* (Weda Mukunuwenna), *Alternanthera asessilis* (Common Mukunuwenna), *Sesbania grandiflora* (Kathurumurunga), *Amaranthus viridis* (Red thampala), *Amaranthus viridis* (Green Thampala), *Dregea volubilis* (Aguna kola), *Coccinia grandis* (Kowakka), *Costus speciosus* (Thebu kola) and *Trianthema portulacastrum* (Sarana) were evaluated for their nutritional composition. Samples of each vegetable species were collected from different geographical locations of the country representing all agro-climatic zones. Healthy, undamaged plant parts were collected, cleaned and air dried at 45 °C, pooled in similar quantities and stored at 4 °C for further analysis. The proximate and mineral composition of each sample were determined and presented on fresh weight basis. Moisture, ash, crude fat, crude protein and total carbohydrate contents were determined using AOAC standard methods. The moisture content ranged between 76.99 and 89.55% while the crude protein content ranged between 1.73 and 7.17%. The corresponding ranges for ash, total carbohydrates and crude fat were 1.28 – 3.86%, 4.41 – 10.76% and 0.29 – 1.81%, respectively. Comparatively, *A. viridis* was found to be the richest ($P < 0.05$) source of essential mineral elements.

Keywords: Ash, crude fat, crude protein, mineral composition, proximate composition

INTRODUCTION

An increasing interest has been developed among Sri Lankans towards the consumption of leafy vegetables as means of obtaining nutrients especially micronutrients. Furthermore, many leafy vegetables have been used in traditional medicine for therapeutic and curative purposes. Moreover, many nutritional studies have given an important consideration for dark green leafy vegetables in dietary guidelines as rich sources of vitamins, especially vitamins C and A; minerals, including calcium, iron and phosphorus; and phytochemicals, particularly antioxidants.

¹ Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka

² Plant Genetic Resource Centre, Gannoruwa, Sri Lanka

³ Department of Applied Nutrition, Faculty of Livestock, Fisheries and Nutrition, Wayamba University of Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka

* Corresponding Author: madujith@yahoo.com

The dietary intake of leafy vegetables is negatively associated with many chronic disease conditions such as cardiovascular diseases, cancer, diabetes mellitus, Alzheimer disease, cataract, and age-related functional decline (Willett, 1995; Temple, 2000). The study of Jayawardena *et al.* (2012) provides evidence that a substantial proportion of the Sri Lankan population acquires meals that include no servings or very few servings of vegetables, though Sri Lanka produces a significant quantity. The daily intake of vegetable portions (1.73) is notably below the national and international recommendations (5 portions). Some part of the population still suffers from one or more micronutrient deficiencies as a result of diets that are deficient in micronutrient-rich foods. The knowledge of nutritional significance can be used for recommendation of leafy vegetables in the diet, mediating nutrient deficiency, nutrient adequacy and nutrient toxicity of a population. Furthermore, compositional data can be incorporated into food consumption surveys, nutrition, agriculture and health policies and programmes. However, nutritional data of green leafy vegetables commonly grown in the country is quite scanty. Therefore, this study was carried out to determine proximate and mineral composition of selected ten leafy vegetables commonly grown and used in food preparations in Sri Lanka namely, *Centella asiatica* (Gotukola), *Alternanthera sessilis*¹ (Weda Mukunuwenna), *Alternanthera sessilis*² (common Mukunuwenna), *Sesbania grandiflora* (Kathurumurunga), *Amaranthus viridis*¹ (Red Thampala), *Amaranthus viridis*² (Green Thampala), *Dregea volubilis* (Aguna kola-Thiththaaguna), *Coccinia grandis* (Kowakka), *Costus speciosus* (Thebu kola) and *Trianthema portulacastrum* (Sarana) (Figures 1- 3).



Figure 1. (a) *Alternanthera sessilis* (Weda Mukunuwenna) and (b) *Alternanthera sessilis* (Common Mukunuwenna)



(c)



(d)



(e)



(f)

Figure 2. (c) *Amaranthus viridis* (Red variety), (d) *Amaranthus viridis* (Green variety), (e) *Trianthema portulacastrum* and (f) *Centella asiatica*



(g)



(h)



Figure 3. (g) *Sesbania grandiflora*, (h) *Coccinia grandis*, (i) *Costus speciosus* and (j) *Dregea volubilis*

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Chemicals: petroleum ether, potassium sulfate, copper sulfate, boric acid, sulphuric acid, sodium hydroxide, bromocresol green and methyl red mixed indicator, hydrochloric acid, sodium carbonate, Devarda's alloy, Phenol, glucose, nitric acid, Rh and Re standards and multi-elemental standards for ICP-MS analysis were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich™, USA.

Sample collection and preparation

A total of 500 g of healthy, undamaged, edible leaves and stems of *Centella asiatica*, *Alternanthera sessilis*, *Amaranthus viridis* and *Trianthema portulacastrum* and leaves of *Sesbania grandiflora*, *Dregea volubilis*, *Coccinia grandis* and *Costus speciosus* were collected from seven agro-climatic zones of Sri Lanka: wet zone low country, wet zone mid country, wet zone up country, low country intermediate zone, mid country intermediate zone, up country intermediate zone and low country dry zone separately, packed in polythene bags, labeled, placed in temperature controlled containers and transported to the laboratory without delay.

The plant samples were photographed, identified and authenticated by taxonomists. They were sorted and cleaned appropriately and oven dried at 45 °C (Phoenix™, Germany). The oven dried samples from each agro ecological zone were disintegrated in a stainless steel grinder (Apex™, India), pooled separately in similar quantities and homogenized assuring uniform distribution to prepare the working samples. Oven-dried samples were stored at 4°C (LG™, Japan) for further analyses.

Proximate analysis

Methods approved by AOAC (2009) were employed to determine the moisture content of fresh samples and crude protein, fat and ash contents of oven dried samples. The crude protein and crude fat contents were determined using Kjeldahl method (Digester - VELP Scientifica™, DK 6, Italy and Distillation unit - VELP Scientifica™, UDK 129, Italy) and Soxhlet extraction methods respectively. The moisture content was determined by drying the sample to a constant weight at 105 °C in a forced air-oven (SIBATA, SPF-600, Japan). The ash content was determined using gravimetric method by muffle furnace (HOBERSAL™, HP-150, Spain).

Determination of total carbohydrate content

The total carbohydrate content was determined according to the method described in AACC 2003 (American Association of Cereal Chemists). A sample (100 mg) was acid-hydrolyzed in a boiling water bath for 3 h with 5 mL of 2.5 N HCl. Then the sample was cooled to room temperature, neutralized with sodium carbonate until the effervescence ceased, made up the volume to 100 mL and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min. A sample (0.1 mL) of the supernatant collected was pipetted out and volume was made up to 1 mL with distilled water. Blank was set with 1 mL of distilled water. Five percent of Phenol solution (1 mL) and 5 mL of 96% sulphuric acid were added into each tube, the contents were shaken for 10 minutes and placed in a water bath at 25–30 °C for 20 min.

All analyses were carried out in triplicate and absorbance was taken using UV/Visible microplate spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific™, Genesys 10S, UK) at 490 nm. Total carbohydrate contents were calculated as percentages using the glucose standard calibration curve (0.0028-0.0143 ppm). The absorbance of the blank was read and necessary corrections were made.

Quantification of the mineral content

Mineral analysis by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS, iCAPQ, Thermo Scientific, UK) was performed according to the method described by De la Rocha (2009) with some minor modifications. Acid digestion of the oven dried samples (0.2 g) was performed with 10 mL of nitric acid (65%, w/v) using a commercial high-pressure laboratory microwave oven (CEM® Corporation, BR601050, USA), operating by setting the program for 15 min ramp time, 30 min holding time during digestion at 180 °C and 15 min cooling time. Digested samples were transferred into a 50 mL volumetric flask, made up to volume with de-ionized water, filtered and stored at 4 °C. Test solutions contained 100 µg/L of Rh and Re, which were used as internal standards to correct instrumental drift and possible matrix effects. For calibration, multi-elemental standard solutions were prepared with the following concentrations: 0, 5, 10, 30 and 50 mg/L for Na, Mg, K, Al, Mn, Zn, Fe, Rb, Sr and Ba; and 0, 0.8, 3.0 and 10.0, 20.0 µg/L for Cr, Co, Ni, Cu, As, Se, Li, Be, V, Ga, Mo, Cd and Bi. The operating conditions of the ICP-MS for the analysis of the leafy vegetable samples are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Operating conditions for ICP-MS

Parameter	Value
Auto Sampler	CETAC ASX-560
Plasma power	1550 W
Cool gas flow	14 L/min
Auxiliary gas flow	0.65 L/min
Sample cone	Nickel, 1 mm orifice diameter
Skimmer cone diameter	Nickel, 0.75 mm orifice
Dwell time	10 ms (40 ms for Se, As)
Relative standard deviation (3 replicates) typical	< 1%
Sample flow	0.4 mL/min
Limit of detection, typical	< 0.1 ppb

Elements with the highest isotopic abundance were selected as analytical mass. For the within-run assessment, the accuracy and precision of the assays were examined in a single run, with six replicates. For the between-run assessment, TM 25.4 (Environment Canada) for water was analyzed as certified reference material which covered the range of the elements studied such as Al, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, and Se in six separate runs. Accuracy was defined as the percentage difference between the monitored concentration and the expected value (%D). Precision was expressed as the percentage of the variation coefficient (%CV).

Acceptance criteria for accuracy and precision, derived from International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) (1996) rules, were as follows: the mean %D and %CV should not exceed $\pm 15\%$; 75% of all samples had to be within $\pm 15\%$ of expected concentrations. In the experiment, no more than one of the six samples within a given concentration could exceed $\pm 15\%$ of their expected values.

Statistical analyses

Data for all determinations were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). Complete Randomized Design (CRD) was used as the experimental model and means of experimental data were compared by Duncan's multiple range test ($P < 0.05$). Statistical analyses were conducted using the SAS Statistical Analysis System SAS/IML 14.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Proximate composition

The proximate composition of leafy vegetables tested in this study is presented in Table 2. The moisture content of the samples tested ranged between 69.93 - 87.40%. These values fell within the range (70 – 90%) reported by FAO (2006). Protein content of the samples ranged from 1.73g/100 g of fresh weight (FW) in *C. speciosus* to 7.17 g/100 g FW in *S. grandiflora*. The values obtained were rather similar to those reported by Rajapaksha (1998), Odhav *et al.* (2007) and Longvah *et al.* (2017). However, quite higher values were reported by Patricia *et al.* (2014) and Gogoi and Kalita (2014).

A significantly higher ($P<0.05$) protein content was observed in *S. grandiflora* compared to all other studied leafy vegetable species. As reported in some studies, leafy vegetables are claimed to be good sources of protein and they could play a considerable role in providing cheap and accessible proteins for rural communities. It was reported that, 100 g of leafy vegetables could contribute to about 18.6 - 30.92% of the daily protein requirement (71 g/day) of pregnant and lactating women (FND, 2005), assuming complete absorption of protein. Three species, namely *S. grandiflora* (1.81 g/100 g FW), *D. volubilis* (1.08 g/100 g FW) and *A. sessilis* (0.75 g/100 g FW) stand out to be better sources ($P<0.05$) of fat than other species tested. Crude fat content of studied leafy vegetables ranged from 0.29±0.00 g/100 g FW to 1.81 g/100 g FW. The values obtained for lipid content in these leafy vegetable species confirmed the findings of authors such as Rajapaksha (1998), Sheela *et al.* (2004), Odhav *et al.* (2007), Lukmanji *et al.* (2008) and Longvah *et al.* (2017) which showed that leafy vegetables are poor sources of lipids (Patricia *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, consumption of leafy vegetables in large amount cannot be detrimental to individuals who are suffering from obesity since diet providing 20 - 35% of its caloric energy as fat is stated to be adequate to maintain health and wellbeing of humans and excess fat always leads to the onset non-communicable chronic disease conditions such as cardiovascular disorders, cancer and aging (Kris-Etherton *et al.*, 2002).

Table 2. Proximate composition of leafy vegetables in fresh weight basis (%)

Leafy vegetables	Moisture	Ash	Crude fat	Crude protein	Total carbohydrate
AS1	78.98±2.90 ^d	3.12±0.04 ^b	0.75±0.00 ^c	3.00±0.10 ^d	10.76±0.11 ^a
AS2	84.74±4.26 ^a	2.90±0.21 ^b	0.73±0.01 ^h	2.09±0.03 ^f	7.39±0.25 ^e
AV1	82.65±5.02 ^b	3.86±0.16 ^a	0.51±0.03 ^f	4.24±0.04 ^b	8.46±0.19 ^d
AV2	85.75±2.21 ^a	2.62±0.14 ^b	0.48±0.00 ^h	3.10±0.12 ^d	6.46±0.08 ^f
CA	87.34±2.21 ^a	1.43±0.03 ^d	0.53±0.03 ^e	1.75±0.03 ^f	7.03±0.04 ^e
SG	76.99±2.20 ^c	2.26±0.19 ^c	1.81±0.08 ^a	7.17±0.03 ^a	9.81±0.08 ^c
DV	80.17±3.86 ^b	1.28±0.03 ^d	1.08±0.06 ^b	3.41±0.05 ^c	10.23±0.21 ^b
CS	89.01±3.18 ^a	1.98±0.12 ^c	0.29±0.00 ^h	1.73±0.01 ^f	5.46±0.07 ^g
CG	88.95±2.83 ^a	1.88±0.13 ^b	0.65±0.06 ^d	2.62±0.18 ^e	5.04±0.04 ^h
TP	89.55±4.97 ^a	3.14±0.02 ^f	0.33±0.00 ^f	1.75±0.08 ^f	4.41±0.11 ⁱ

Values are average of three independent experiments ± standard deviation (SD). Means followed by same letters in a column are not significantly different at $P<0.05$ level by Duncan's multiple range test.

Scientific names of leafy vegetable species have been abbreviated as follows; *A. sessilis*¹ – AS1, *A. sessilis*² – AS2, *A. viridis*¹ – AV1, *A. viridis*² – AV2, *C. asiatica* – CA, *S. grandiflora* – SG, *D. volubilis* – DV, *C. speciosus* – CS, *C. grandis* – CG and *T. portulacastrum* – TP

The ash content of leafy vegetables tested varied from 1.28±0.03 g/100 g FW in *D. volubilis* to 3.86±0.16 g/100 g FW in *A. viridis*¹. The highest total carbohydrate content was observed in *A. sessilis*¹ (10.76±0.11 g/100 g FW) while *T. portulacastrum* showed the lowest (4.41±0.11 g/100 g FW) among studied leafy vegetables. The ash and carbohydrate contents of most of the leafy vegetables analyzed in this study tallied with the literature (Odhav *et al.*, 2007; Longvah *et al.*, 2017). On the contrary, the higher values for ash and total carbohydrate contents of some studied leafy vegetable species such as *A. sessilis* and *Amaranthus* spp. were reported in some published literature such as Gogoi and Kalita (2014) and Patricia *et al.* (2014) while lower values were reported by Sheela *et al.* (2004).

Mineral composition

Mean values for mineral contents of studied leafy vegetables are presented in Table 3. The highest sodium content was observed in the leaves and stems of *T. portulacastrum* (2.29 ± 0.031 mg/g FW) while *C. speciosus* (0.05 ± 0.001 mg/g FW) leaves contained the lowest amount. The leafy vegetable species analyzed in this study contained appreciable amounts of calcium which varied from 3.19 ± 0.09 mg/g FW in *C. asiatica* to 11.17 ± 0.16 mg/g FW in *A. viridis*¹ and potassium which ranged from 3.92 ± 0.66 mg/g FW in *C. grandis* to 9.98 ± 0.07 mg/g FW in *A. viridis*¹. The highest ($P < 0.05$) content of magnesium was found in leaves and stems of *A. viridis*² (1.59 ± 0.003 mg/g FW) whereas, the lowest ($P < 0.05$) amount of magnesium was found in *C. asiatica* (0.47 ± 0.002 mg/g FW) among all studied leafy vegetables.

It is noteworthy to mention that *A. viridis*² contained the highest ($P < 0.05$) amounts of Cr (0.71 ± 0.022 μ g/g FW), Fe (207.34 ± 8.43 μ g/g FW) and V (0.51 ± 0.00 μ g/g FW) while *A. sessilis*¹ was found to contain the highest ($P < 0.05$) amounts of Mn (274.72 ± 5.45 μ g/g FW), Co (0.51 ± 0.020 μ g/g FW), Zn (34.69 ± 0.75 μ g/g FW), Ga (0.534 ± 0.012 μ g/g FW) and Rb (55.71 ± 1.38 μ g/g FW). The richest source ($P < 0.05$) of Ni was *D. volubilis* (0.58 ± 0.005 μ g/g FW) while the poorest ($P < 0.05$) source was *A. sessilis*² (0.23 ± 0.001 μ g/g FW) among studied leafy vegetables. The barium content of the leaves varied greatly and ranged from 7.85 ± 0.25 μ g/g FW (*C. speciosus*) to 49.58 ± 2.42 μ g/g FW (*A. viridis*¹).

Copper content in the leafy vegetables tested ranged from 1.50 ± 0.06 μ g/g FW in *C. speciosus* to 3.94 ± 0.06 μ g/g FW in *A. viridis*¹. When compared with other studied leafy vegetables, substantial quantity ($P < 0.05$) of Se was found in *S. grandiflora* (0.22 ± 0.017 μ g/g FW) whereas the lowest quantity ($P < 0.05$) was observed in *C. speciosus* (0.03 ± 0.003 μ g/g FW). Molybdenum content varied from 0.215 ± 0.002 μ g/g FW in *C. grandis* to 0.050 ± 0.001 μ g/g FW in *C. speciosus*. The strontium content also differed in a wide range from 3.11 ± 0.03 μ g/g FW in *T. portulacastrum* to 65.11 ± 1.66 μ g/g FW in *C. grandis*. The aluminium content varied from 15.43 ± 0.36 μ g/g FW in *C. grandis* to 86.65 ± 1.20 μ g/g FW in *A. viridis*¹. The minerals found in the least quantities were Cd (2.48 ± 0.20 - 108.48 ± 2.16 ng/g FW), Bi (0.09 ± 0.01 - 0.71 ± 0.33 ng/g FW), As (12.15 ± 1.51 - 49.04 ± 2.69 ng/g FW), Li (4.71 ± 0.20 - 95.28 ± 1.59 ng/g FW) and Be (1.23 ± 0.15 - 4.80 ± 0.21 ng/g FW). The values of mineral contents observed in this study are comparable with the data published by some other authors (Deraniyagala *et al.*, 1994; Rajapaksha, 1998; Odhav *et al.*, 2007; Gunasekera, 2008; Patricia *et al.*, 2014; Gogoi and Kalita, 2014; Longvah *et al.*, 2017).

Heavy metal accumulation in plants is of rising concern because of the potential human health risks. Contamination of food chain is one of the most critical pathways for the ingress of these toxic heavy metals into the human body. Accumulation of heavy metals in plants is dependent on metal absorbing efficacy of various plant species (Rattan *et al.*, 2005). The presence of heavy metals such as Pb, Cr, As, Zn, Cd, Cu, and Hg in leafy vegetables is highly concerned due to the risk of bioaccumulation and biomagnifications of them in the food chain. These concerned heavy metals contents were comparatively higher in *A. sessilis*¹, *A. sessilis*², *A. viridis*¹, *A. viridis*² and *C. asiatica* than other examined leafy vegetables indicating a potential heavy metal contamination. These leafy vegetable species are cultivated for commercial purpose adding fertilizers and pesticides by Sri Lankan farmers, which may be the most contributing factor for high heavy metal content in them.

Table 3. Mineral composition of leafy vegetables studied

Mineral	<i>A. sessilis</i> (var. <i>Weda Mukunuwenna</i>)	<i>A. sessilis</i> (var. <i>Common Mukunuwenna</i>)	<i>A. viridis</i> (var. <i>Red Thampala</i>)	<i>A. viridis</i> (var. <i>Green Thampala</i>)
Na (mg/g)	0.32±0.013 ^c	0.37±0.002 ^f	1.15±0.002 ^c	1.31±0.004 ^b
Mg (mg/g)	1.17±0.007 ^b	1.023±0.008 ^c	1.57±0.032 ^a	1.59±0.003 ^a
K (mg/g)	9.18±0.23 ^b	8.91±0.14 ^c	9.98±0.07 ^a	6.32±0.14 ^e
Ca (mg/g)	5.24±0.13 ^f	3.28±0.14 ^h	11.17±0.16 ^a	7.45±0.06 ^e
Al (μg/g)	38.93±0.34 ^e	31.83±0.21 ^f	86.65±1.20 ^b	140.05±1.27 ^a
Mn (μg/g)	274.72±5.45 ^a	104.91±1.48 ^c	105.28±1.16 ^c	83.31±0.27 ^f
Fe (μg/g)	67.18±0.98 ^d	55.16±1.52 ^e	156.04±3.20 ^b	207.34±8.43 ^a
Cu (μg/g)	3.79±0.03 ^b	3.17±0.07 ^c	3.94±0.06 ^a	2.63±0.07 ^d
Zn (μg/g)	34.69±0.75 ^a	12.39±0.23 ^d	16.62±0.26 ^c	13.63±0.11 ^d
Rb (μg/g)	55.71±1.38 ^a	33.28±1.51 ^b	20.06±0.37 ^e	27.42±1.55 ^d
Sr (μg/g)	15.01±0.66 ^f	20.12±0.43 ^e	53.67±1.95 ^c	27.42±0.43 ^d
Ba (μg/g)	10.94±0.07 ^f	16.30±0.36 ^e	49.58±2.42 ^a	25.88±0.75 ^c
Cr (μg/g)	0.31±0.004 ^d	0.17±0.00 ^h	0.54±0.031 ^b	0.71±0.022 ^a
Co (μg/g)	0.51±0.020 ^a	0.33±0.003 ^b	0.25±0.002 ^c	0.48±0.018 ^a
Ni (μg/g)	0.57±0.016 ^a	0.23±0.001 ^f	0.54±0.005 ^b	0.49±0.014 ^c
As (ng/g)	37.24±1.28 ^c	32.15±1.51 ^f	49.04±2.69 ^a	42.41±1.80 ^b
Se (μg/g)	0.12±0.004 ^c	0.08±0.009 ^d	0.10±0.002 ^d	0.16±0.002 ^b
Li (ng/g)	16.58±8.56 ^e	15.69±8.50 ^e	73.72±1.52 ^b	38.15±2.70 ^c
Be (ng/g)	1.59±0.34 ^g	1.23±0.15 ⁱ	4.65±0.35 ^c	4.80±0.21 ^b
V (μg/g)	0.17±0.001 ^d	0.18±0.001 ^d	0.35±0.011 ^b	0.51±0.00 ^a
Ga (μg/g)	0.534±0.012 ^a	0.193±0.012 ^f	0.252±0.020 ^b	0.218±0.005 ^d
Mo (μg/g)	0.132±0.0006 ^d	0.178±0.005 ^b	0.161±0.001 ^c	0.098±0.002 ^f
Cd (ng/g)	78.70±7.32 ^b	34.65±1.68 ^d	47.62±0.21 ^c	48.47±0.76 ^c
Bi (ng/g)	0.29±0.12 ^e	0.44±0.13 ^c	0.32±0.08 ^d	0.34±0.06 ^d

Data represent the mean values±SD (n=3) of three independent experiments. Means followed by the same letters in a row are not significantly different at a particular probability level by Duncan's multiple range test.

Table 3. Mineral composition of leafy vegetables studied

Mineral	<i>C. asiatica</i> (<i>Wel gotukola</i>)	<i>S. grandiflora</i> (<i>Kathurumurunga</i>)	<i>D. volubilis</i> (<i>Aguna kola</i>)	<i>C. speciosus</i> (<i>Thebu kola</i>)	<i>C. grandis</i> (<i>Kowakka</i>)	<i>T. portulacastrum</i> (<i>Sarana</i>)
Na (mg/g)	0.32±0.004 ^c	0.29±0.011 ^d	0.28±0.005 ^d	0.05±0.001 ^h	0.09±0.0009 ^g	2.29±0.031 ^a
Mg (mg/g)	0.47±0.002 ^h	0.79±0.001 ^e	0.95±0.010 ^d	0.61±0.033 ^f	0.97±0.001 ^d	0.51±0.009 ^g
K (mg/g)	4.68±0.01 ^h	5.10±0.08 ^g	5.37±0.07 ^f	5.31±0.27 ^f	3.92±0.66 ⁱ	6.97±0.24 ^d
Ca (mg/g)	3.19±0.09 ^h	9.65±0.01 ^c	9.18±0.02 ^d	3.73±0.11 ^g	10.73±0.12 ^b	1.52±0.01 ⁱ
Al (µg/g)	56.21±0.51 ^d	19.60±0.71 ^h	21.85±0.06 ^g	22.87±0.65 ^g	15.43±0.36 ⁱ	60.37±0.16 ^c
Mn (µg/g)	95.21±0.14 ^e	78.31±0.70 ^g	99.97±0.29 ^d	109.81±5.35 ^b	23.65±0.25 ⁱ	47.00±1.88 ^h
Fe (µg/g)	93.55±0.79 ^c	64.92±0.31 ^d	48.22±0.84 ^f	55.10±0.02 ^e	40.65±0.30 ^g	91.38±3.46 ^c
Cu (µg/g)	2.48±0.02 ^e	2.19±0.14 ^f	2.47±0.04 ^e	1.50±0.06 ^h	2.02±0.01 ^g	2.11±0.06 ^f
Zn (µg/g)	22.89±0.16 ^b	8.49±0.09 ^e	6.86±0.04 ^f	4.03±0.22 ^g	6.67±0.00 ^f	14.08±0.50 ^d
Rb (µg/g)	24.96±0.61 ^c	26.67±0.47 ^d	20.95±0.22 ^d	9.63±0.21 ^f	7.95±0.16 ^g	25.46±1.98 ^c
Sr (µg/g)	16.81±0.31 ^f	28.01±0.44 ^c	58.20±0.54 ^b	11.35±0.006 ^g	65.11±1.66 ^a	3.11±0.03 ^h
Ba (µg/g)	27.35±0.09 ^c	20.73±0.49 ^d	36.76±0.16 ^b	7.85±0.25 ^g	47.68±0.35 ^a	2.43±0.17 ^h
Cr (µg/g)	0.23±0.001 ^f	0.19±0.002 ^h	0.20±0.006 ^g	0.40±0.013 ^c	0.26±0.003 ^e	0.26±0.025 ^e
Co (µg/g)	0.08±0.0007 ^e	0.11±0.003 ^d	0.08±0.0004 ^e	0.06±0.0006 ^f	0.03±0.0002 ^g	0.13±0.001 ^d
Ni (µg/g)	0.46±0.016 ^c	0.47±0.004 ^c	0.58±0.005 ^a	0.36±0.0007 ^d	0.29±0.001 ^e	0.27±0.020 ^e
As (ng/g)	18.74±1.06 ^e	33.99±1.77 ^c	31.65±1.21 ^c	17.39±0.41 ^e	17.50±3.27 ^e	21.68±1.63 ^d
Se (µg/g)	0.07±0.003 ^d	0.22±0.017 ^a	0.21±0.026 ^a	0.03±0.003 ^f	0.05±0.001 ^e	0.05±0.003 ^e
Li (ng/g)	14.19±0.56 ^e	26.85±1.91 ^d	95.28±1.59 ^a	7.24±1.64 ^f	4.71±0.20 ^f	42.11±0.23 ^c
Be (ng/g)	3.82±0.19 ^d	2.70±0.23 ^e	7.97±0.40 ^a	1.25±0.10 ⁱ	1.81±0.08 ^f	1.47±0.16 ^h
V (µg/g)	0.23±0.004 ^c	0.08±0.003 ^f	0.10±0.002 ^e	0.10±0.001 ^e	0.07±0.003 ^f	0.23±0.002 ^c
Ga (µg/g)	0.201±0.002 ^e	0.199±0.011 ^e	0.230±0.006 ^c	0.188±0.003 ^g	0.045±0.005 ⁱ	0.099±0.008 ^h
Mo (µg/g)	0.104±0.004 ^c	0.066±0.001 ^g	0.119±0.001 ^e	0.050±0.001 ^h	0.215±0.002 ^a	0.163±0.010 ^c
Cd (ng/g)	108.48±2.16 ^a	4.12±0.14 ^g	7.58±1.45 ^e	2.48±0.20 ^h	7.90±0.02 ^e	5.70±0.19 ^f
Bi (ng/g)	0.18±0.13 ^f	0.71±0.33 ^a	0.30±0.03 ^d	0.64±0.07 ^b	0.18±0.04 ^f	0.09±0.01 ^g

Data represent the mean values±SD (n=3) of three independent experiments. Means followed by the same letters in a row are not significantly different at a particular probability level by Duncan's multiple range test.

Apart from that an overly high amount of As content (33.99 ± 1.77 ng/g FW) was observed in *S. grandiflora* which was as high as the As contents of *A. sessilis* and *A. viridis* species. Differences in the chemical composition of leafy vegetables, together with the quantities of compounds and mineral elements that are beneficial and detrimental to humans, is influenced by farming practices, established environmental conditions and age of plants at harvest which affects their nutritional composition (Nordeide *et al.*, 1996). The inorganic arsenic lower limit on the benchmark dose for a 0.5% increased incidence of lung cancer (BMDL_{0.5}) was determined from epidemiological studies to be $3.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ of body weight per day (FAO and WHO-JECFA, 2010). According to that, lower limit of As for a person who weighs 60 kg is $180 \mu\text{g}/\text{d}$. Provisional tolerable monthly intake of Cd is $25 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ body weight (JECFA, 2010). Based on that, provisional tolerable daily intake of Cd for a person who weighs 60 kg is $50 \mu\text{g}$. When these recommended tolerable intakes and current consumption patterns of leafy vegetables in Sri Lanka are considered, despite the fact that some leafy vegetables contained substantial amount of As and Cd, they were still far below the harmful levels and a risk is not involved in consumption of these leafy vegetables. However, cumulative effects can be a concern.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the study indicate that most of the leafy vegetables are good sources of proteins and minerals (especially Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Mo, Se, Zn and K). *S. grandiflora*, *D. volubilis* and *A. sessilis* contained higher protein, fat and carbohydrate contents than other studied leafy vegetable species while *T. portulacastrum* and *A. sessilis* showed higher ash contents than others. Among greatly concerned heavy metals, Cr, As, Zn, Cd and Cu contents were comparatively higher in *A. sessilis*¹, *A. sessilis*², *A. viridis*¹, *A. viridis*² and *C. asiatica* than other examined leafy vegetables. Moreover, these results suggest that consumption of sufficient amount of these studied leafy vegetables grown under safe farming practices could beneficially contribute to the nutritional requirement of human. Furthermore, study of the bioavailability of nutrients would be beneficial in food consumption surveys, diet recommendation and in mediating nutrient deficiency, nutrient adequacy and nutrient toxicity of populations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) are acknowledged for the financial support. The technical assistant provided by Plant Genetic Resource Centre (PGRC), Department of Agriculture and Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, University of Peradeniya are acknowledged.

REFERENCES

De la Rocha, S.R., Sanchez-Muniz, F.J., Gómez-Juaristi, M. and Marín, M.L. (2009). Trace elements determination in edible seaweeds by an optimized and validated ICP-MS method. *J. Food Comp. Anal.* 22(4), 330-336.

Deraniyagala, S.A., Mudalige, K.T., Anula, H.M. and Jamburuthugoda, M.Y.P.R. (1994). Some micronutrient and macronutrient contents of some Sri Lanka food varieties. *Vidyodaya J. of Sci.* 5(1), 93-102.

FAO. Proximate composition of foods [online]. [Accessed on 17.07.2017]. Available at <http://www.fao.org/ag>

FND. Dietary reference intake for energy, carbohydrate, fibre, fat, fatty acids, cholesterol, protein and amino acid (micro-nutrients) [online]. [Accessed on 18.07.2017]. Available at <http://www.nap.edu>

Gogoi, P. and Kalita, J.C. (2014). Proximate analysis and mineral components of some edible medicinally important leafy vegetables of Kamrup district of Assam, India. *Int. J. Pharma Bio Sci.* 5(4), 451-457.

Gunasekera, L. (2008). Sessile joyweed (*Alternanthera sessilis*): a popular leafy vegetable in South East Asia but federal noxious weed in USA. In Proceedings of the 16th Australian Weeds Conference, Cairns Convention Centre, North Queensland, Australia. 347-348.

ICH, (1996). International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) of technical requirements for the registration of pharmaceuticals for human use. In: Validation of Analytical Procedures: Methodology, ICH-Q2B, Geneva [online]. [Accessed on 17.07.2017]. Available at <http://www.fda.gov/Cder/Guidance/1320fnl.pdf>

World Health Organization. (1993). Evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants: Forty-first Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. In Evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants: Forty-first report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives.

Kris-Etherton, P.M., Hecker, K.D., Bonanome, A., Coval, S.M., Binkoski, A.E., Hilpert, K. F. and Etherton, T.D. (2002). Bioactive compounds in foods: their role in the prevention of cardiovascular disease and cancer. *Am. J. Med.* 113(9), 71-88.

Longvah, T., Ananthan, R., Bhaskarachary, K. and Venkaiah, K. (2017). Indian food composition tables. [online]. [Accessed on 12.08.2009]. Available at <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/313226719IndianfoodCompositionTables>

Lukmanji, Z., Hertzmark, E., Mlingi, N.I.C.O.L.A.S., Assey, V.I.N.C.E.N.T., Ndossi, G. and Fawzi, W. A.F.A.I.E. (2008). Tanzania food composition tables. MUHAS-TFNC, HSPH, Dar es Salaam Tanzania. [online]. [Accessed on 17.07.2017]. Available at <https://cdn1.sph.harvard.edu/wp-content/.../tanzania-food-composition-tables.pdf>

Nordeide, M.B., Hatloy, A., Folling, M., Lied, E. and Oshaug, A. (1996). Nutrient composition and nutritional importance of green leaves and wild food resources in an agricultural district, Koutiala, in southern Mali. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* 47, 455-478.

Odhav, B., Beekrum, S., Akula, U.S. and Baijnath, H. (2007). Preliminary assessment of nutritional value of traditional leafy vegetables in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *J. Food Comp. Anal.* 20(5), 430-435.

Patricia, O., Zoue, L., Megnanou, R.M., Doue, R. and Niamke, S. (2014). Proximate composition and nutritive value of leafy vegetables consumed in Northern Côte d'Ivoire. *Eur Sci. J.* 10(6), 212-217.

Rajapaksha, U. (1998). Traditional food plants in Sri Lanka. Hector Kobbekaduwa Agrarian Research and Training Institute, Colombo, Sri Lanka.

Rastogi, A. and Shukla, S. (2013). Amaranth: a new millennium crop of nutraceutical values. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 53(2), 109-125.

Rattan, R.K., Datta, S.P., Chhonkar, P.K., Suribabu, K. and Singh, A.K. (2005). Long-term impact of irrigation with sewage effluents on heavy metal content in soils, crops and groundwater-a case study. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 109, 310-322.

Sheela, K., Nath, K.G., Vijayalakshmi, D., Yankanchi, G.M. and Patil, R.B. (2004). Proximate composition of underutilized green leafy vegetables in Southern Karnataka. *J. Hum. Ecol.* 15(3), 227-229.

Temple, N.J. (2000). Antioxidants and disease: more questions than answers. *Nutr Res.* 20, 449-459.

Willett, W.C. (1995). Diet, nutrition, and avoidable cancer. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 103(8), 165-170.